

Effective Notions of Weak Convergence of Measures on the Real Line

Diego A. Rojas

Iowa State University

March 26, 2020

ASL North American Annual Meeting

I. Background

- ▶ Weak Convergence of Measures
- ▶ Computable Analysis
- ▶ Computable Measure Theory
- ▶ Questions
- ▶ Goals

II. Effective Weak Convergence of Measures

- ▶ Definitions
- ▶ Examples
- ▶ Results

Part I: Background

Weak Convergence of Measures

- ▶ A sequence of finite Borel measures $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ on a metric space X *weakly converges* to a measure μ if the integrals $\{\int_X f d\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to $\int_X f d\mu$ for any bounded continuous $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- ▶ Prokhorov metric on finite Borel measures: $\rho(\mu, \nu) :=$ the infimum over all $\epsilon > 0$ so that $\mu(A) \leq \nu(A^\epsilon) + \epsilon$ and $\nu(A) \leq \mu(A^\epsilon) + \epsilon$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, where
 - ▶ $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra of X ;
 - ▶ $A^\epsilon = \bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, \epsilon)$;
 - ▶ $B(a, \epsilon)$ is the open ball of radius ϵ around a .
- ▶ If X is a separable metric space, the space $\mathcal{M}(X)$ of finite Borel measures on X becomes a separable metric space under the Prokhorov metric.

Computable Analysis

- ▶ A *computable metric space* is a triple (X, d, S) with the following properties:
 - ▶ (X, d) is a complete separable metric space
 - ▶ $S = \{s_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable dense subset of X
 - ▶ $d(s_i, s_j)$ is computable uniformly in i, j
- ▶ Examples:
 - ▶ $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|, \mathbb{Q})$
 - ▶ $(2^\omega, d_C, S_C)$ where $d_C(X, Y) = 2^{-\min\{n: X(n) \neq Y(n)\}}$ and $S_C = \{\sigma 0^\omega : \sigma \in 2^{<\omega}\}$
- ▶ Throughout the talk, we will focus on $X = \mathbb{R}$.

Computable Analysis

- ▶ A *Cauchy name* of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a computable sequence of rationals $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $|q_n - q_{n+1}| < 2^{-n}$.
- ▶ A function $f : \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *computable* if there is a Turing functional that sends a Cauchy name of $x \in \text{dom } f$ to a Cauchy name of $f(x)$.
- ▶ A *compact-open (c.o.)* name of a function $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ is an enumeration $\rho_f \in \Sigma^\omega$ of the set $\{N_{I,J} : f \in N_{I,J}\}$, where
 - ▶ Σ is a finite alphabet;
 - ▶ $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a compact interval;
 - ▶ $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval;
 - ▶ $N_{I,J} = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : f(I) \subseteq J\}$.
- ▶ A function $F : \subseteq C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *computable* if there is a Turing functional that sends a c.o. name of $f \in \text{dom } F$ to a Cauchy name of $F(f)$.

- ▶ A measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ is *computable* if $\mu(\mathbb{R})$ is computable and $\mu(U)$ is left-c.e. uniformly from (an index of) a Σ_1^0 subset U of \mathbb{R} .
- ▶ A sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ is *uniformly computable* if μ_n is a computable measure uniformly in n .

Work by M. Hoyrup and C. Rojas¹ gives us the following:

- ▶ $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}), \rho, \mathcal{D})$ is a computable metric space, where \mathcal{D} denotes the space of finite rational linear combinations of Dirac measures on \mathbb{R} .
- ▶ $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ is computable if and only if $I_\mu : f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$ is computable on computable $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$, uniformly from (a c.o. name of) f .

¹M. Hoyrup and C. Rojas. “Computability of probability measures and Martin-Löf randomness over metric spaces”. In: *Information and Computation* 207 (2009), pp. 830–847.

Question.

Given a computable metric space X and a sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{M}(X)$, what are the necessary and sufficient conditions for $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to *effectively* weakly converge to a computable measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$?

Before addressing this question, we must first answer the following:

Question.

Given a computable metric space X , what does it mean for a sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ to *effectively* weakly converge?

Goals for this talk:

- ▶ Define *effective weak (e.w.) convergence* and *uniformly effective weak (u.e.w.) convergence* in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ Show that sequences in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ that are uniformly computable and e.w. convergent tend to a computable measure in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$
- ▶ Distinguish e.w. convergence from classical weak convergence
- ▶ Show that e.w. and u.e.w. convergence in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ are equivalent for uniformly computable sequences

Part II: Effective Weak Convergence of Measures

- ▶ Let $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ and Σ be a finite alphabet.

Definition.

We say that $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *effectively weakly (e.w.) converges* to a finite Borel measure μ if there exists a (partial) computable function $g : \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $e, N, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if the e th Turing functional computes a c.o. name $\rho_f \in \Sigma^\omega$ of a function $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ with $|f| < e$, then $g(e, N) \downarrow$, and

$$n \geq g(e, N) \Rightarrow \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu \right| < 2^{-N}.$$

We call g an *effective modulus of convergence (e.m.o.c.)* for $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition.

We say that $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *uniformly effectively weakly (u.e.w.) converges* to a finite Borel measure μ if there exists a (partial) computable function $g : \subseteq \Sigma^\omega \times \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for any c.o. name $\rho_f \in \Sigma^\omega$ of a function $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ and any $B, N, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|f| \leq B$ implies $g(\rho_f, B, N) \downarrow$, and

$$n \geq g(\rho_f, B, N) \Rightarrow \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_n - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu \right| < 2^{-N}.$$

We call g a *uniformly effective modulus of convergence (u.e.m.o.c)* for $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Examples

- ▶ Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, a uniformly computable sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{Q} that decreases to 0. The sequence $\mu_n(E) = \lambda(E \cap [a - q_n, b + q_n])$ e.w. converges to $\mu(E) = \lambda(E \cap [a, b])$, where λ is Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.
- ▶ For a uniformly computable sequence $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{Q} that converges to some computable $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the sequence of Dirac measures $\{\delta_{r_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ e.w. converges to δ_r .

Proposition. (R. 2020+)

If $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly computable and e.w. converges to μ , then μ is a computable measure.

Proof.

It suffices to show that $f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu$ is a computable operator on computable $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Let g be an e.m.o.c. for $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For computable $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we may find an index $e_f > 1$ of a Turing functional that computes a c.o. name of f with $|f| < e_f$, so $g(e_f, t + 1) \downarrow = n_0$. Then, compute a $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ that approximates $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\mu_{n_0}$ to within 2^{-t-1} since $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly computable. The result follows by definition of e.m.o.c. and the triangle inequality. \square

Proposition. (R. 2020+)

There exists a sequence of computable measures $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that weakly converges but does not e.w. converge.

Proof.

Let $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a uniformly computable increasing sequence in \mathbb{Q} that converges to an incomputable left-c.e. $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. For $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and λ Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, the sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $\mu_n(E) = \lambda(E \cap [0, q_n])$ weakly converges to $\mu(E) = \lambda(E \cap [0, \alpha])$, but fails to e.w. converge since $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = \lambda([0, \alpha]) = \alpha$ is not computable. □

Theorem. (R. 2020+)

Suppose $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly computable. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is e.w. convergent;
- (2) $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is u.e.w. convergent.

Proof Sketch.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): For \bar{g} a u.e.m.o.c. of $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, let $g(e, N) \downarrow = \bar{g}(P_e, e, N)$, where $\{P_e\}_{e \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an effective enumeration of all computable sequences in $\Sigma^{\leq \omega}$. \square

Proof Sketch.






(1) \Rightarrow (2): Fix $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ with c.o. name $\rho_f \in \Sigma^{\leq \omega}$, $B \in \mathbb{N}$ with $|f| \leq B$, and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For \bar{g} an e.m.o.c. of $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, define the following effective procedure for $g : \subseteq \Sigma^\omega \times \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$:




1. Compute $a, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\mu([-a, a]^c) < 2^{-N-4}/B$ and $\mu_n([-a, a]^c) < 2^{-N-4}/B$ for every $n \geq n_0$.
2. Compute $\psi \in P_{\mathbb{Q}}[-a, a]$ and $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n' \geq n_0$ so that $|\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f - \psi) d\mu| < 2^{-N-2}$ and $|\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f - \psi) d\mu_n| < 2^{-N-2}$ for every $n \geq n'$.
3. Search for an index $e \in \mathbb{N}$ of a Turing machine that computes a c.o. name for ψ with $|\psi| < e$, and let $g(\rho_f, B, N) \downarrow = \bar{g}(e, N + 1)$.



Thank you!

References I

-  Bogachev, V. *Weak convergence of measures*. Vol. 234. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
-  Gács, P. “Uniform test of algorithmic randomness over a general space”. In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 341.1 (2005), pp. 91–137.
-  Hoyrup, M. and C. Rojas. “Computability of probability measures and Martin-Löf randomness over metric spaces”. In: *Information and Computation* 207 (2009), pp. 830–847.
-  Kallianpur, G. “The Topology of Weak Convergence of Probability Measures”. In: *Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics* 10 (6 1961), pp. 947–969.
-  Prokhorov, Y. “Convergence of random processes and limit theorems in probability theory”. In: *Theory of Probability and Its Applications* 1 (2 1956), pp. 157–214.

-  Rute, J. “Computable randomness and betting for computable probability spaces”. In: *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* 62.4-5 (2016), pp. 335–366.
-  Schröder, M. “Admissible representations for probability measures”. In: *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* 53.4-5 (2007), pp. 431–445.
-  Weihrauch, K. *Computable Analysis: An Introduction*. Springer-Verlag, 2000.